Space Potential Fluctuation in an Anode-layer Hall Thruster
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Abstract: The electrical sheath structure inside a hollow anode and plasma dynamics in a discharge channel were numerically computed using a fully kinetic2D3V Particle-in-Cell (PIC) / Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) code. By treating both electrons and ions as particles, temporal and spatial variations of the non-neutral plasma structure near the anode surface were analyzed. As a result, breathing mode ionization oscillation observed in an anode-layer thruster was well reproduced. The potential drop over the anode surface was fluctuated with plasma density fluctuation. Resulting variation of substantial anode area was found enhancing the oscillation.

Nomenclature

\begin{align*}
B & = \text{magnetic flux density} \\
D & = \text{anode hollow width} \\
e & = \text{electric charge} \\
E & = \text{electric field strength} \\
I_d & = \text{discharge current} \\
m & = \text{particle mass} \\
n & = \text{number density} \\
t & = \text{time} \\
V_d & = \text{discharge voltage} \\
x & = \text{position} \\
Z & = \text{distance between anode tip and channel exit} \\
\varepsilon_0 & = \text{free space permeability} \\
\phi & = \text{space potential} \\
\nu & = \text{collision frequency} \\
r, z, \theta & = \text{cylindrical coordinate}
\end{align*}

Subscripts

\begin{align*}
0 & = \text{anode exit} \\
e & = \text{electron} \\
i & = \text{ion} \\
n & = \text{neutral}
\end{align*}
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I. Introduction

Discharge instability in anode layer hall thrusters would be one of the serious problems to be overcome. A hollow anode is commonly used to stabilize the discharge for these thrusters. However, the mechanism of discharge stabilization using a hollow anode has not been clarified yet and optimization of anode design has not been done.

The goal of our study is to model the anode sheath, which has a great effect on the stable discharge of anode layer hall thrusters, and find out a scaling law for the anode design.

In the computational study, the structure of electrical sheath inside a hollow anode was numerically simulated using fully kinetic 2D3V Particle-in-Cell (PIC) and Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) methodologies. The results are compared with the measurement using a 1-kW class anode layer hall thruster.

II. Discharge Current Oscillation

A 1kW-class anode layer hall thruster with a hollow anode has been designed and fabricated as shown in Fig. 1. It has two guard rings made of stainless steel. They are kept at the cathode potential. The inner and outer diameters of a discharge chamber are 48mm and 62mm, respectively. Magnetic flux density is variable by changing the current of a solenoid coil set at the center of the thruster. Detailed description is available in Refs. 3,4).

![Figure 1. The University of Tokyo Anode Layer Hall Thruster.](image1)

A photograph of the Hollow Anode is shown in Fig. 2. It has an annular hollow anode made of copper. We define \( Z \) as the distance between the thruster exit and the tip of anode, and \( D \) as the width of propellant channel. \( Z \) and \( D \) are varied (\( Z=1\sim4\text{mm}, \ D=1\sim3\text{mm} \)) in this study. Xenon is used as a propellant, and the mass flow rate is set at \( 1.0A_{eq}=1.37\text{mg/s} \). Discharge voltage is set at 400V.

![Figure 2. Photograph of the Hollow Anode.](image2)

Figure 3 shows measured amplitude of discharge current oscillation and the time-averaged discharge current \( T_a \). Here, the amplitude of discharge current oscillation is defined as,

\[
\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T_a} \int (i_d/T_a-1)^2 dt}
\]

Oscillation amplitude was sensitive to magnetic flux density \( B \). Although the oscillation is small at \( B<0.014\text{T} \), the thrust efficiency is poor in this range of \( B \) because of large electron backflow current. Therefore, the desirable operation condition is limited in a quite narrow range of \( B \).

Measured oscillation amplitude is plotted for various anode geometries in Fig. 4. There is a common trend that oscillation becomes unstable with the increase in \( B \) as seen in Fig. 3. The threshold of \( B \) is about 0.011-0.015[T].
III. Computation Method

It is very difficult to measure the distributions of electric potential and plasma density inside a hollow anode because plasma density is expected very small and the plasma is electrically non-neutral. Therefore, structure of electrical sheath inside the hollow anode and plasma dynamics in the discharge channel were numerically computed using fully kinetic 2D3V Particle-in-Cell (PIC) and Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) methodologies. By treating both electrons and ions as a particle, non-neutral plasma structure in the sheath region near the anode surface can be analyzed. Figure 5 shows the flow chart of calculation.

$10^6$-$10^9$ of real particles are treated as one macro particle and all of macro particles are treated kinetically. Electric and magnetic forces are implemented via the PIC method and collisions are via the DSMC method. The cylindrical coordinate system $(r, z, \theta)$ was used as shown in Fig. 6. Particle’s position is expressed in two-dimensional space $r$ and $z$, while its velocity is expressed in three-dimensional space. That is, particles move in all directions, but the azimuthal coordinate is always discarded.

An orthogonal calculation grid is set, with the axial length of the cell getting smaller toward the discharge channel in order to observe the sharp fall of electron density in the vicinity of anode exit. The minimum cell length is in the same order of the Debye length.

Figure 7 shows the magnetic flux density distribution used in the calculation, that is identical to the actual distribution in the thruster. \cite{4} $B_0$ is variable.
Table 1. Collisions considered in the Hall Thruster and their typical collision frequency $v$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision</th>
<th>Mean Free Time, ps</th>
<th>Relative $v$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\text{Xe}$ Elastic Scattering</td>
<td>2.038</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\text{Xe}$ Ionization</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\text{Xe}$ Excitation</td>
<td>66.83</td>
<td>3.05 $\times$ $10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\text{Xe}^-$ Coulomb</td>
<td>282.7</td>
<td>7.21 $\times$ $10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e^-\text{e}^-$ Coulomb</td>
<td>15270</td>
<td>1.33 $\times$ $10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe – Xe Scattering</td>
<td>38300</td>
<td>5.32 $\times$ $10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 29th International Electric Propulsion Conference, Princeton University, October 31 – November 4, 2005
IV. Code Validation

A. The collision part

The collision section is the most important part of this code and the PIC-DSMC code is compared with the deterministic solution. For easy comparison, the original DSMC code is modified to calculate in one cell. In this code, particles are confined in a certain cell and reflect on the cell boundary. The other parts are same as the original DSMC code.

The equation to solve the collision part deterministically is

\[
\frac{dN_n}{dt} = -\frac{N_n N_e \langle \sigma v \rangle}{V}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The neutral number which is decay is generated electron number and the sum of these two particles number is constant.

\[N_n + N_e = \text{const.} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\(\langle \sigma v \rangle\) is a function of electron energy and the equation of the total electron energy conservation is

\[
\frac{dE_e}{dt} = -\dot{N}_{\text{ion}} E_{\text{ion}} - \dot{N}_{\text{ex}} E_{\text{ex}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where, \(\dot{N}_{\text{ion}}\) is ionization rate, \(\dot{N}_{\text{ex}}\) is excitation collision number, \(E_{\text{ion}}\) is ionization energy and \(E_{\text{ex}}\) is energy loss of excitation collision. The result is shown in Fig.8.

![Neutral number history in a cell](image)

**Figure 8.** Neutral number history in a cell.  
*A comparison of the DSMC code solution with the deterministic solution*

The characteristic time is defined as a time which neutral number decreases to 1/e. The deterministic solution indicates the characteristic time is about \(2.5 \times 10^{-8}\) s and DSMC solution indicates that is about \(3.4 \times 10^{-8}\) s. This slight difference is caused by small energy electron. In DSMC code, secondary electron, which is generated by ionization, has often too small energy to occur ionization. On the other hand, in deterministic solution, electron energy is averaged in each time step.

Figure 9 shows electron energy distributions at 0 s, \(5.0 \times 10^{-9}\) s, and \(1.0 \times 10^{-8}\) s. At \(t=0\) s, electron energy distribution is Boltzmann distribution. As time increases, small energy electron number increases by ionization and excitation collision and electron energy comes off from Boltzmann distribution. This energy distribution difference
makes ionization rate small. As a result, the characteristic time of DSMC code is longer than that of deterministic one.

![Graph](image1)

**Figure 9. Neutral number history in a cell.**

**B. An error margin by macro-particle**

The probabilistic solution is stepwise because one neutral macro-particle is a cluster of real neutrals. Figure 10 shows neutral number history in two cases; in one case, 16 macro particles are in a cell, in the other case, 8331 macro particles are in a cell, initially. For finer resolution, number of neutrals included in a macro particle should be minimized.

In order to find out an adequate macro particle number in a cell, the characteristic time is assumed to be an index. Figure 11 shows the characteristic time in the each macro particle number case. This indicates the characteristic time is about 4.1×10⁻⁸ s and more than 200 macro particles are necessary in a cell for accurate result.

![Graph](image2)

**Figure 10. Neutral number history in a cell.**

One is a case a macro particle contains 5.0×10⁹ real neutrals. The other is 1.0×10⁷.

**Figure 11. The variations in characteristic time**

**C. Comparison of DSMC results with experimental results**

Finally, calculated results are tested with experimental results.

Figure 12 shows the calculated discharge current histories. In the case of \(B_0=20\text{mT}\), strong discharge oscillation was observed. This is ionization oscillation. Oscillation frequency(≈50kHz) and the wave shape are very close to the measured one. Averaged discharge current is 0.8A. In this region, the main electron backflow mechanism has transited from classical diffusion to Bohm diffusion in the experiment.
V. Results

Figure 13 shows the computed average discharge current and its oscillation amplitude. The amplitude was high at \( B_0 \geq 0.015 \text{T} \). This trend agrees well with the measured one as seen in Figs. 3 and 4.

Calculated two-dimensional distributions of electron number density are shown in Fig. 10. In the case of \( B_0 = 0.014 \text{T} \) (Fig.14 (a) and (b)) the distributions are stable. For \( B_0 = 0.010 \text{T} \), magnetic confinement against the electron backflow is not enough and large electron current flows in the discharge channel. In the case of \( B_0 = 0.014 \text{T} \), electron is trapped by the magnetic field, and density peaks in the middle of discharge channel. Plasma has penetrated into the anode cavity resulting in large substantial anode surface area that contacts the plasma. Then, electrons would be able to reach the anode smoothly without a large sheath drop. The ionization reaction inside the hollow anode contributes to this profile. The fraction of propellant gas that is ionized in the hollow anode is about 30%.

In the case of \( B_0 \geq 0.015 \text{T} \) (Fig.14 (c) and (d)) the discharge is oscillating. The island of high-density electrons seen inside

![Figure 12. Discharge current history.](image1)

![Figure 13. Computed discharge current oscillation amplitude](image2)

![Figure 14. Computed electron number density distributions.](image3)

Contour max \( 2.0 \times 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3} \), min \( 0.2 \times 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3} \). (a) and (b) are steady solutions. (c) and (d) are snapshots of oscillating profiles.
the hollow anode is moving back and forth in the z-direction. Although the gas is ionized inside the hollow anode, the density distribution is discontinuous and ionization instability has been observed.

Figure 15 shows electron current density distribution on the anode surface. The anode length effective for electron current is about 10mm for \( D = 3\)mm. Figure 16 shows the computed plasma potential distributions. In the case of \( B_0 \leq 0.014\)T, a potential drop appears at the exit of discharge channel. The plasma potential is linearly varied though the anode exit.

In the case of \( B_0 \geq 0.015\)T, potential starts to decrease at the anode exit. This rapid potential decrease at the anode exit is identical to the one without an anode hollow. The electron density tends to be small due to the rapid acceleration by the electric field resulting in shortage of plasma density on the anode surface.

Figure 15. Discharge current distribution on the anode surface.

![Figure 15](image)

Contour max 100eV, min 0eV. (a) and (b) are steady solutions. (c) and (d) are snapshots of oscillating profiles.

Figure 16. Computed electric potential distributions.

![Figure 16](image)

Contour max 250V, min 0V. (a) and (b) are steady solutions. (c) and (d) are snapshots of oscillating profiles.

Figure 17 shows the computed electron temperature distributions. In the case of \( B_0 \geq 0.015\)T, high temperature region is limited in a thin layer located at the exit of anode. This is due to the strong electric field as seen in Fig. 16. This rapid increase in temperature contributes to the high ionization rate inside the hollow anode, and brings the ionization oscillation inside the hollow anode. To suppress the ionization oscillation, linear variation in plasma potential though the anode exit would be necessary.

As seen in Figs. 16-17, ionization and acceleration occurs in a thin layer at the anode exit in the high magnetic flux density cases. This is a typical feature of anode layer type or sheath type thruster.

The condition in which the layer appears will be a function of operating and geometric parameters of the thruster. Then, optimization of the hollow anode geometry for typical operational condition would be one way to suppress the
oscillation. Another way would be to have a discharge independent of the main discharge to assist the ionization in the hollow anode.

VI. Conclusions

The fully kinetic PIC-DSMC code can reproduce both stable and unstable operation modes observed in the experiment.

In the stable operation case, which corresponds to the low magnetic flux density case, the plasma penetrated into the anode cavity. This large substantial anode area would contribute to stable operation.

In the unstable operation case, which corresponds to the high magnetic flux density case, ionization oscillation and space potential fluctuation was observed inside the hollow anode. This would be caused by the non-linear variation of plasma potential at the anode exit.

To suppress the ionization oscillation, linear variation in plasma potential though the anode exit would be necessary.
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